



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,099	09/17/2003	Jennifer Maw	PD-267.00 (M190.151.101)	3704
63496 7590 10/17/2007 DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC ATTN: MD MATTERS FIFTH STREET TOWERS, SUITE 2250 100 SOUTH FIFTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER NGUYEN, TUAN VAN	
			ART UNIT 3731	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/17/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/664,099

Applicant(s)

MAW, JENNIFER

Examiner

Tuan V. Nguyen

Art Unit

3731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan V. Nguyen.

(3) _____

(2) David Gange (reg. No. 55,198).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 05 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dragan (US 4,569,662) and Seager (US 4,744,494).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ANH TUAN T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

05/12/07
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1 and 2 were discussed with respect to prior art of record: Dragan (US 4,569,662) and Seager (US 4,744,494). Examiner acknowledges that both references does not disclose the limitation as claimed in claim 2, therefore, claim 2 will be rejected in next Office Action, however, Examiner asserts that the limitation as claimed in claim 2 is old and well known in the art. With respect to claim 1, new limitation such as "the reservoir is contained entirely in the body and it is fixed to the body" was discussed, however, no agreement was reached.